

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/623,604	09/25/2000	Jean-Luc Guyot	32910	3875
75	90 03/08/2004		EXAMINER	
Pearne Gordon McCoy & Granger Bratlie, ste			STEVEN A	
Suite 1200				
526 Superior Av	venue East		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Cleveland, OH	44114-1484		3652	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/623,604	GUYOT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven A. Bratlie	3652	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relation. 9ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice of	·	•	6
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) / / 8 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) / / 8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E.	xaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by			d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International  * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) . Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	/\ ☐ Intension 9	ummary (PTO 412)	
2) D Notice of References Cited (P10-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7///00		formal Patent Application (PTO-152) —·	

Application/Control Number: 09/623,604 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

1. 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: appropriate headings required, "torque 20", "sensor 20", "hole 12", "sleeve 12", "the tractions".

2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

## For Example:

- A.) Claim 1 "organ (7)" indefinite; and
- B.) Claim 18 "is evasive downwards".
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 09/623,604 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

5. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Staples, et al in view of Ogata et al.

Staples et al disclose a substantially similar system. Staples et al lack the use of

a grab to remove contents. The use of a grab is disclosed by Ogata, et al. It would

have been obvious to a mechanic with ordinary skill in the act at the time the invention

was made to provide a grab to the primary reference. The motivation is the known use

of equivalents.

6. Cransac, et al is cited to show similar structure.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Examiner Bratlie whose telephone number is (703) 308-

2669. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursday from 6:30

to 5:00. Friday is the examiner's day off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-

4177.

STEVEN A. BRATLIE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Bratlie/vs March 3, 2004